**College of Science and Engineering**

**ECR Fellowship Template**

Please complete this template if you are a Postdoctoral or Early Career Researcher intending to apply for a Fellowship.

You must secure a statement from a supporting academic who will comment on the competitiveness of your proposed research project and vision.

Templates should be submitted along with your CV to [scieng-submit@glasgow.ac.uk](mailto:scieng-submit@glasgow.ac.uk)

**Please comply with the word limits indicated.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Name** |  |
| **School** |  |
| **Email** |  |
| **Supporting academic** |  |
| **Fellowship Scheme** |  |
| **Length of fellowship** |  |
| **Deadline/intended submission date** |  |
| **Link to Fellowship assessment criteria** |  |
| **Have you applied to this scheme before?** | Y / N |

**If applying to EPSRC Postdoctoral/Open/Open Plus fellowships indicate in which area you will focus:**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Discovery science** |  | **Innovation** |  | **Instrumentation/technique development or software engineering** |  |

|  |
| --- |
| **Please describe your vision for your research fellowship. (max 150 words, for a lay reader)** |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| **Provide an overview of the research you propose to undertake, explaining the novelty, importance and how it will be transformative. (max 300 words)** |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| **If you previously applied to a fellowship scheme and were not successful, please detail how your application has been strengthened? Please consider your track record, esteem, your research plans, collaborators etc.** |
|  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Outputs** | |
| Please provide a short summary of (up to) four of your most significant publications or outputs(see Appendix A1).  Under ‘Output details,’ please provide, where relevant: the title, title of journal / book (and publisher), year of publication, page ranges, DOI and full author list.  Under ‘Importance and Contribution’ please highlight the influence that each output has made to advancing the field, supported by indicators of quality as appropriate to your discipline (maximum 100 words each). Appropriate indicators include, for example, reference to (UK) REF panel criteria or benchmarked and subject-normalised citation metrics, but not journal impact factor. In the case of jointly authored outputs, please state your contribution to the work (see Appendix A2). | |
| **Output 1** | |
| **Output details** |  |
| **Importance & Contribution** |  |
| **Output 2** | |
| **Output details** |  |
| **Importance & Contribution** |  |
| **Output 3** | |
| **Output details** |  |
| **Importance & Contribution** |  |
| **Output 4** | |
| **Output details** |  |
| **Importance & Contribution** |  |

|  |
| --- |
| **Provide a statement of esteem relevant to your specific field of research.** See appendix B for guidance on what you might wish to include. **(max 200-words)** |
|  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Supporting academic statement** | |
| Please explain why you believe the research proposed will be competitive e.g. transformational, new directions, emerging new field. You do not need to comment on the person/their track record as that will be captured in the statement of esteem and their CV. | |
| **Name of Supporting academic** |  |
| **Position** |  |
| **Electronic Signature** |  |

**Appendix A**

* For this purpose, the REF definition of ‘output’ (section 2017, [Guidance](https://www.ref.ac.uk/media/1092/c-users-daislha-desktop-ref-documents-final-guidance-for-live-site-ref-2019_01-guidance-on-submissions.pdf) on Submissions) will be used: ‘In addition to printed academic work, research outputs may include, but are not limited to: new materials, devices, images, artefacts, products and buildings; confidential or technical reports; intellectual property, whether in patents or other forms; performances, exhibits or events; and work published in non-print media.’
* 2The CRediT Contributor Role Taxonomy may be helpful in articulating roles and contributions: <https://credit.niso.org/>

**Appendix B – Suggested content for your esteem summary**

When composing your short summary, please consider if you have:

* An impressive publication list?
  + Highest quality journals in your field
  + Evidence of the influence of your publications on the discipline (bear in mind that the University is a signatory of DORA [https://sfdora.org](https://eur03.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=https%3A%2F%2Fsfdora.org%2F&data=05%7C02%7CNeil.Findlay%40glasgow.ac.uk%7C02604607dabc49184dc508dc4f216f08%7C6e725c29763a4f5081f22e254f0133c8%7C1%7C0%7C638472252203100544%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWIjoiMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzIiLCJBTiI6Ik1haWwiLCJXVCI6Mn0%3D%7C0%7C%7C%7C&sdata=eMhbD6JL1pMqC5lr68SALnusBgPy6HuXNm8bTiC405M%3D&reserved=0))
* Had your research recognised by an external organisation, e.g. a professional body, by securing a prestigious post or being awarded a prize/s from such organisations?
* Been invited to give talks at major conferences in your field?
* Pioneered an area, a new technique or achieved a ‘first’ measurement/device …
* Been a lead researcher within a research project?
* Been appointed to a key role in your discipline - coordinator/convenor/leadership positions?
* Demonstrated community leadership? Committees/outreach?
* Led a group/project/work package? Any reports/supervision? Can you show that those you’ve supervised have achieved publications/results/gone on to roles in key research groups or outwith academia?
* Secured funding that has been peer reviewed?